Griot : Revista de Filosofia, Amargosa - BA, v.25, n.1, p.123-134, fevereiro, 2025 ISSN 2178-1036
https://doi.org/10.31977/grirfi.v25i1.5281
Recebido: 24/01/2025 | Aprovado: 13/02/2025
Received: 01/24/2025 | Approved: 02/13/2025

INTEGRATING INNOVATION WITH INTEGRITY: NAVIGATING THE HUMANISTIC AND ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF THE FOURTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

Nga Thi Khuat[1]
Thuongmai University, Hanoi, Vietnam
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1538-7634
E-mail: nga.kt@tmu.edu.vn

ABSTRACT:
The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) marks a transformative period in which the convergence of biological, digital, and physical technologies redefines human existence and societal structures. This paper critically examines the philosophical, ethical, and socio-political implications of these advancements, advocating for an integrative approach that aligns rapid technological innovation with enduring humanistic values. By addressing the potential for both human advancement and the exacerbation of social inequalities, the study emphasizes the importance of ethical reflection, robust regulatory frameworks, and educational reforms. It further explores the profound changes in work, identity, and community dynamics, calling for proactive policies to ensure equitable access to the benefits of 4IR while preserving human diversity. Ultimately, this analysis calls for a responsible and ethical engagement with emerging technologies to foster a future that promotes justice, human dignity, and planetary sustainability.

KEYWORDS: Biological impact; Fourth industrial revolution; Human existence; Marxist philosophy; Social transformation; Technological advancements.

[1] PhD in Philosophy from the Academy of Journalism and Communication. She serves a senior lecturer in the Faculty of Political Theory at the Thuongmai University, Hanoi, Vietnam.

1. Introduction

The advent of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) has catalyzed profound shifts across multiple dimensions of human existence, from the socio-economic landscape to the very underpinnings of human identity and societal structures (Khuat, 2024). As this new era unfolds, marked by unprecedented technological advancements, it becomes imperative to assess its economic and technological impacts and its profound biological, philosophical, and social transformations. This paper seeks to integrate various scholarly perspectives to illuminate the complex interplay between these advancements and human life, bridging the historical insights of Marxist philosophy with contemporary analyses of technology's role in social transformation.

Central to this discussion is the transformation of human conditions under the influence of rapid technological growth, as explored by Rotatori; Lee e Sleeva (2021) and Melo e Araújo (2020), who delve into the implications of 4IR on societal institutions and healthcare systems, respectively. This technological paradigm shift, as discussed by Mahlatsi (2020), is not merely a continuation of past trends but a fundamental overhaul of societal structures, bringing issues of human-machine integration and a redefinition of human work and identity to the forefront.

Furthermore, the philosophical dimensions of these transformations are critically examined through the lens of Marxist philosophy, where Adorno's (2018) reflections on ideology reveal a society where domination is no longer veiled but is evident in the cultural artifacts of a fully managed society. This ideological shift intersects with the materialist perspectives of Feuerbach, who emphasizes the primacy of nature over spirit, suggesting a grounding of human freedom not in abstract idealism but in the material conditions shaped by technological advancements (Chagas, 2020).

The biological implications are equally profound, as the integration of cyber-physical systems suggests a future where human biological existence is increasingly intertwined with technological entities, raising urgent questions about the biological impact of these technologies on human health and the environment.

Finally, the paper will explore the socio-political ramifications of these shifts, as seen in the educational reforms in Vietnam that draw upon historical and philosophical insights to address modern challenges (Nguyen; Nguyen, 2024; Nguyen, 2022b; Nguyen; Pham; Nguyen, 2022), and the broader social transformations discussed by Aguilar-Rodríguez; Bernal-Torres; Aldana-Bernal; Acosta-Aguinaga *et al.* (2021) in the context of emerging economies.

This multifaceted analysis aims to provide a comprehensive view of the Fourth Industrial Revolution's biological, philosophical, and social impacts (Nguyen, 2022a; Nguyen; Nguyen; Nguyen, 2023). It highlights the need for an integrated approach to navigating the opportunities and challenges presented by these changes, ensuring that technological advancements promote human dignity and equitable societal transformation.

As the Fourth Industrial Revolution progresses, we witness remarkable scientific and technological achievements and practical applications in socio-economic life. However, these advancements also bring significant risks and challenges across various domains: economics, culture, education, ethics, and security. Karl Marx highlighted the paradoxical nature of technological progress, noting its capacity to alleviate and exacerbate human suffering (Marx; Engels, 1993). Klaus Schwab emphasizes the depth of these changes, suggesting that this era is marked by both great promise and significant peril (Schwab, 2018).

The Fourth Industrial Revolution reshapes global society, politics, and economy, potentially altering fundamental human values. It compels us to reevaluate the nature of human existence, cultural identity, and interpersonal connections in the modern world, highlighting the importance of maintaining human essence amidst profound technological changes.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Industry 4.0: Definitions and Characteristics

Industry 4.0, a term originating from a German government high-tech initiative, represents the convergence of industrial production with cutting-edge digital technologies. First introduced at the 2011 Hannover Technology Fair, this concept was officially included in Germany's High-Tech Strategic Action Plan in 2012. Industry 4.0 has become synonymous with the revolution in global value chains, characterized by integrating system technology and the internet, reflecting a fundamental shift in production methodologies.

The discourse on Industry 4.0 has expanded globally, with numerous countries exploring its implications through seminars, forums, and research. These international efforts aim to leverage the revolution's opportunities and prepare for its challenges by developing responsive policies. Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum identifies this era as the beginning stages of the fourth industrial revolution, distinguished by its digital foundation (Schwab, 2018). According to Schwab, this revolution is marked by ubiquitous mobile internet, powerful yet affordable devices, and advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning. This era differs from its predecessor due to the increased complexity and integration of technologies, driving significant changes in production processes and systems.

Schwab highlights three distinctive features of this revolution: its unprecedented speed, the breadth and depth of its impact across various sectors, and its systemic influence on global infrastructures. This revolution is evolving what and how we do things and redefining our societal and individual identities.

Historically, each industrial revolution brought about its paradigm shifts: the first utilized steam power, the second electric power, the third leveraged information technology, and now the fourth blurs the lines between the physical, digital, and biological spheres. This current revolution builds upon the digital advancements of the third, with the Internet of Things (IoT) and Internet of Systems (IoS) creating a seamless interface between real and virtual worlds.

The technological pillars of Industry 4.0 include physical, digital, and biological innovations. In the physical realm, advancements such as autonomous vehicles, 3D printing, and sophisticated robotics redefine traditional manufacturing and service sectors. Autonomous technologies, equipped with AI and sensors, rapidly evolve, expanding their applications from routine tasks to complex operations in unpredictable environments, such as disaster zones or remote areas.

3D printing, or additive manufacturing, is a revolutionary contrast to traditional subtractive manufacturing. It builds objects layer-by-layer based on digital models. This technology has transcended its initial applications in the automotive and aerospace industries, including biomedicine, where it now plays a role in developing organ tissues and synthetic body parts.

In robotics, the evolution from single-task machines to adaptive systems capable of learning and interacting with their environments signifies a leap toward true human-machine collaboration. These robots are increasingly versatile, used in diverse fields, from agriculture to healthcare, and enabled by sensor technology and cloud computing advances.

Material science has also seen breakthroughs in developing intelligent, adaptive materials. These materials are lighter, more durable, and capable of self-healing and energy conversion, which could revolutionize industries by introducing more sustainable and efficient production methods.

The IoT exemplifies the fusion of physical and virtual worlds in digital technology. With billions of devices now interconnected, it is transforming everything from supply chain logistics

to consumer products. This connectivity is expected to expand exponentially, reaching over a trillion devices shortly.

Biotechnological innovations continue to break new ground, particularly in genetic engineering and synthetic biology. The rapid sequencing of genes, once a costly and time-consuming process, has become both affordable and fast, impacting numerous sectors, from agriculture to medical therapeutics. Synthetic biology, which allows for the customization of biological organisms by rewriting DNA, holds promise despite ethical debates, offering potential solutions in biofuels and pharmaceuticals.

Lastly, bioprinting merges 3D printing with biological science to fabricate tissue structures for medical use, further blurring the lines between technology and biology. This convergence exemplifies the holistic nature of the fourth industrial revolution, which integrates the physical, digital, and biological to reshape the socio-economic landscape on a global scale. Thus, Industry 4.0 is not just a technological evolution but a comprehensive transformation impacting all facets of life and work.

2.2 Marxist Concepts of Human Nature

While not explicitly defined in Marx's works, Marxist concepts of human nature revolve around the idea of human beings as fundamentally shaped by the socio-economic systems in which they live. This notion suggests that human essence is not a fixed attribute but rather a dynamic element that evolves according to the material conditions of society. By analyzing the above references through a Marxist lens, we can explore the interaction between human nature and socio-economic structures, mainly focusing on how these structures influence human consciousness, behavior, and the broader societal condition.

Ideological Formation and Human Consciousness. The critique by Adorno, as discussed in Masaro (2018), sheds light on the transformation of ideology in a managed society. Adorno's theory suggests that ideology in modern capitalist societies does not merely mask reality but becomes reality itself, portraying social domination as a naturalized aspect of life. This aligns with the Marxist notion of ideology, which states that the ruling ideas are those of the ruling class. Still, Adorno extends this to suggest that these ideas are not just dominant but are the very medium through which society perceives itself. This reification of consciousness under capitalism creates conditions where human interactions with the world are mediated through a capitalist lens, fundamentally shaping human nature to align with capitalist values.

Material Conditions and Human Freedom. Chagas (2020) explores Feuerbach's perspective on the primacy of material nature over spirit, arguing that human freedom is not absolute but conditioned by material and historical circumstances. This viewpoint resonates with the Marxist understanding that the material conditions of existence shape human nature. Feuerbach's emphasis on the sensory experience as the foundation of human life suggests that any transformation in human nature must come through changes in the material world, a cornerstone of Marxist thought.

Technological Impact on Socio-Economic Structures. The references from Mahlatsi (2020), Rotatori; Lee e Sleeva (2021), and Xing e Marwala (2017) focus on the Fourth Industrial Revolution and its profound impact on the socio-economic structures. Introducing advanced technologies such as AI, robotics, and IoT redefines the nature of work, skill requirements, and even the human identity. Marxist theory would interpret these technological advancements as new forces of production that are restructuring production relations, thereby influencing human nature. The dialectical interaction between technological progress and human capability reflects

a Marxist analysis of historical materialism, where changes in the means of production inevitably lead to transformations in all other social relations, including the nature of humanity itself.

Cultural and Educational Influences. Nguyen (2022b) and Nguyen; Nguyen e Nguyen (2023) discuss the role of cultural and educational factors in shaping human nature. From a Marxist perspective, education and culture are part of the superstructure, reflecting and reinforcing the economic base. As highlighted in the texts, the Confucian influence in education shows how ideological state apparatuses (a concept developed by Louis Althusser, a Marxist thinker) perpetuate a specific type of human nature favorable to the prevailing socio-economic system. The focus on moral education and the role of teachers indicates a mechanism through which the ruling ideas influence the younger generation, potentially embedding a specific type of human nature that aligns with the ideological and economic needs of the state.

Through the lens of Marxism, these references collectively illustrate how human nature is not a static entity but a dynamic construct continuously shaped and reshaped by the prevailing material conditions and ideological forces. Each reference, whether discussing the ideological state under capitalism, Feuerbach's materialist emphasis, or technological advancements' transformative impact, underscores the fundamental Marxist view that human nature is intrinsically linked to the socio-economic structures within which it is embedded. This analysis highlights the fluidity of human nature and stresses the potential for its transformation through revolutionary changes in the socio-economic realm.

3. Biological Impacts of Industry 4.0 on Human Existence: An Analytical Perspective

The fourth industrial revolution, or Industry 4.0, heralds a significant transformation in human existence, intertwining technological advancements with biological impacts. Karl Marx's concept that humans are natural entities inherently linked to their environment provides a foundational perspective for understanding these changes. As we advance technologically, we modify our surroundings and the essence of human biology.

Technological Innovations and Health Enhancements. One of the most direct impacts of Industry 4.0 on human biology is through medical advancements. Technologies like 3D printing and genetic engineering are at the forefront of extending human health and longevity. For instance, 3D bioprinting has revolutionized the medical field by enabling the creation of living tissues and organs, significantly impacting surgical outcomes and recovery times. Notable milestones include the creation of synthetic tissues that mimic human cells in 2013 by British scientists and the life-saving 3D-printed heart for a 14-month-old in 2014 by engineers at the J.B. Speed School of Engineering and Science, Louisville University.

These technological leaps are complemented by the proliferation of 3D printers, which saw a 68% increase in sales worldwide in 2014, demonstrating the accessibility and widespread adoption of this technology in various sectors, including healthcare (Schwab, 2018, p. 271).

Genetic Engineering: Ethical Considerations and Long-term Impacts. The application of genetic technology in Industry 4.0 extends beyond healthcare into agriculture and bioethics. Genetic modifications in agriculture aim to enhance crop and livestock resistance, productivity, and nutritional content, directly linking technological advancements to human health.

However, genetic interventions, especially in humans, stir significant ethical debates. The potential to 'repair' DNA to eliminate diseases or enhance physical traits raises questions about the long-term consequences of such technologies on human evolution and societal inequality. The decreasing cost of genomic sequencing—from $2.7 billion for the Human Genome Project in 2003

to just $1,000 per genome in recent years—has made 'designer babies' a feasible yet controversial reality (Schwab, 2018, p. 272).

The Dual-edged Sword of Technological Advancements. While the benefits of these technologies are immense, they also present significant risks. The accessibility of bioprinting and genetic engineering technologies makes them susceptible to misuse, posing ethical and security challenges that are difficult for governments to regulate. Moreover, the long-term biological impacts of these technologies on human health and the environment remain uncertain, necessitating cautious optimism and rigorous regulatory frameworks.

Industry 4.0 represents a paradigm shift in how humans interact with and influence their biological and natural environments. As Marx suggested, altering our natural world inevitably transforms human nature. Bioprinting and genetic engineering advancements exemplify this transformation, offering unprecedented possibilities for improving human health and longevity. However, these technologies also require careful consideration of ethical implications and potential long-term impacts. As we continue to navigate this new era, it is crucial to balance innovation with responsibility to ensure that technological progress enhances human existence without compromising ethical standards or ecological balance. This analytical perspective underscores the need for an ongoing dialogue among scientists, policymakers, and the public to steer the future course of human biological evolution in the age of Industry 4.0.

4. Challenges and Ethical Considerations of Industry 4.0

4.1. Ethical dilemmas in scientific advancements

The fourth industrial revolution has ushered in an era where the boundaries of science are continually expanding. This unprecedented growth in scientific capabilities, driven by technological innovations, presents new realms of possibilities that were once considered the domain of fiction. The concept of scientific freedom is foundational to this expansion. It underpins the belief that progress in science and technology is essential for improving human life quality and ensuring the survival of the human species. However, this freedom is not without its limits. While researchers need the liberty to explore, innovate, and break new ground, the scope of their freedom is a complex issue. The challenge lies in balancing this freedom with the potential risks and ethical implications of their discoveries. As such, the question arises: To what extent should scientists be free to explore and manipulate biological aspects without a clear long-term understanding of the consequences?

Boundaries and Social Ethics in Scientific Research. Setting boundaries in scientific research involves defining what is permissible and what falls outside the scope of ethical practice. These boundaries are influenced by social ethics, encompassing a society's values, norms, and expectations regarding what is considered morally acceptable. In the context of the fourth industrial revolution, these ethical considerations become increasingly significant as scientists push the frontiers of human biology and genetics. Issues such as bioprinting human organs or editing genetic material raise profound ethical questions about the nature of life and the extent to which humans should intervene in natural biological processes.

For instance, the creation of "designer babies" through genetic editing technologies like CRISPR has ignited intense ethical and social debates. Critics argue that such practices might lead to a new form of eugenics, where genetic attributes could be selected based on subjective notions of desirability, potentially leading to increased social inequalities and discrimination. The U.S. National Bioethics Advisory Council highlights the concerns regarding the unforeseen consequences of creating genetically modified humans, which might surpass the issues faced during earlier controversial experiments such as the creation of Dolly the sheep. These ethical

concerns underscore the necessity for robust ethical frameworks and guidelines that can pace with the rapid advancements in science and technology, ensuring that research is conducted responsibly and with foresight.

The ethical dilemmas in scientific advancements during the fourth industrial revolution necessitate carefully examining the balance between scientific freedom and the ethical boundaries governing such research. As we advance scientifically, we must evolve our ethical considerations to safeguard societal values and ensure the responsible development of new technologies. This dual evolution will be crucial in addressing the complex ethical landscapes that emerge as science continues to push the limits of what is possible.

4.2. The integrity of human nature

Genetic modifications, enabled by advances in biotechnology during the fourth industrial revolution, have introduced profound implications for the essence of what it means to be human. This segment of technological advancement allows for unprecedented alterations in the genetic makeup of organisms, including humans, raising critical questions about the integrity of human nature. Genetic interventions eliminate genetic disorders, enhance physical and mental traits, and potentially extend human life spans. However, while the benefits are significant, they also usher in complex ethical and existential dilemmas.

Human nature is at the heart of the debate—a composite of genetic, environmental, and cultural factors that collectively define the human species. Genetic technology's ability to alter this nature, even if initially on a small scale, suggests a potential shift in these foundational aspects. Critics argue that manipulating genetic material could lead to unforeseen biological and social consequences. For example, altering genes to enhance intelligence or physical ability might not only change the individual but could eventually influence the evolutionary path of the human race. Such power repositions humans as products of evolution and as active editors of their biological narrative.

Debates and Bioethics Concerns Surrounding Designer Babies. The creation of designer babies represents a particularly controversial application of genetic modification technologies. This process involves selecting or altering genes to produce desired traits in offspring, effectively allowing parents and scientists to influence the genetic characteristics of future generations. The ethical concerns surrounding designer babies are manifold and revolve around consent, equality, and the nature of human diversity.

One of the primary ethical concerns is the lack of consent from the individuals being genetically modified—future children. Ethicists argue that these children cannot consent to decisions that will fundamentally affect their lives, health, and identity, raising significant concerns about autonomy and rights. Furthermore, there is an argument that selecting for particular traits implies valuing specific characteristics over others, potentially devaluing individuals who do not possess these traits and increasing societal divisions based on genetic desirability.

Additionally, the accessibility of genetic modification technologies raises concerns about equality. If such technologies are expensive and only available to the wealthy, they could exacerbate existing inequalities, leading to a society where genetic enhancements are another dimension of privilege. This scenario could create a genetic underclass and a super-elite, further destabilizing social cohesion and equality.

Bioethics debates also extend to the broader implications of genetic technologies on human diversity. Genetic diversity is a cornerstone of evolutionary success and resilience. Reducing this diversity by selecting specific traits could make humans more susceptible to diseases or

environmental changes. Moreover, the pursuit of homogenized genetic traits might reduce the variety of talents and abilities that emerge from a naturally diverse gene pool.

The international community has debated various frameworks to regulate genetic engineering in response to these challenges. The call for a global bioethics framework is driven by the need to balance innovation with caution, ensuring that genetic technologies enhance human well-being without compromising ethical standards or the intrinsic diversity that defines humanity.

The integrity of human nature is at the forefront of ethical considerations in the age of genetic modifications. As we navigate these new technological frontiers, ethical deliberations and regulatory frameworks must evolve with scientific advancements to address the profound questions they raise about what it means to be human in the fourth industrial revolution era.

4.3. Implications of extended life expectancy

The extension of human life expectancy, a potential outcome of the fourth industrial revolution's advancements in health technologies, carries significant social and political implications. As individuals live longer, the demographic structure of societies shifts, leading to an increased proportion of older adults. This demographic shift presents challenges in several areas, including the workforce, social security systems, and intergenerational equity.

Economically, longer lifespans can exacerbate the pressure on pension systems and healthcare services. With a growing number of retirees and fewer young workers to contribute to these systems, countries might face financial strains similar to those currently seen in Japan and other aging societies. Politically, the needs and preferences of an older population could dominate public policy decisions, potentially leading to conflicts with younger generations over resource allocation and priorities.

Furthermore, prolonged life expectancy alters the dynamics of the workforce. While older individuals might retain their skills and experience, the pace of technological change requires continuous education and adaptation, areas where younger generations may have an advantage. This situation could lead to increased ageism and potential conflicts within the workplace as older workers stay employed longer, delaying the career progression of younger individuals.

The Quality of Life Versus Length of Life Debate. The pursuit of a longer life has historically been a hallmark of human endeavor. However, the implications of substantially extended lifespans ignite a debate between the quality of life and the mere extension of life. Technological advancements, such as bioprinting and genetic engineering, promise to extend life and enhance the quality of life by preventing or curing age-related diseases. However, a significant concern remains: does a more extended life necessarily equate to a better life?

The quality of life in extended years is contingent upon various factors, including health, social connections, and personal fulfillment. Advanced age can often bring isolation, reduced mobility, and cognitive decline, which could undermine the benefits of a longer lifespan if not adequately addressed. The challenge, therefore, lies in ensuring that extended life expectancy is accompanied by health and vitality rather than merely prolonging the years of dependency or poor health.

This debate also touches on philosophical and ethical considerations about the nature of life and aging. Some argue that the natural aging process should not be tampered with to such an extent, suggesting that the rhythm of life and death has its intrinsic value that excessive life extensions could disrupt.

Ethical Issues in End-of-Life Care. Extended life expectancy inevitably raises complex ethical issues in end-of-life care. As medical technology advances, the capability to sustain life

through artificial means increases, often prolonging the dying process rather than enhancing the quality of life. This situation can lead to difficult decisions for families and caregivers about when to employ or withdraw life-sustaining treatments.

Ethical dilemmas arise over the autonomy of the elderly and terminally ill. There is a delicate balance between respecting a patient's wishes to extend their life and recognizing when treatments no longer contribute to their quality of life. The principle of autonomy is central in bioethics, yet it conflicts with the principles of beneficence and non-maleficence when treatments may extend life at the cost of significant suffering.

The concept of "dignity" in death is increasingly becoming a focal point in discussions about end-of-life care. With more people potentially reaching very advanced ages, the importance of ensuring a death with dignity becomes paramount. This raises questions about the legality and morality of assisted dying, which is currently a contentious issue in many countries. Assisted dying involves numerous ethical considerations, including the potential for coercion and the impact on society's value of life.

The extension of life expectancy brought about by the fourth industrial revolution presents a complex array of social, political, and ethical challenges. These challenges require careful consideration and proactive policy planning to maximize the benefits of longer life while minimizing adverse impacts. As humanity stands on the brink of potentially revolutionary changes to lifespan and health, we must engage in a broad dialogue about the kind of future we want to create—one that respects both the opportunities and the risks of our technological capabilities.

5. Future Perspectives

As we continue to navigate the complexities and opportunities presented by the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), it is crucial to develop a framework that not only leverages technological advancements but also safeguards and promotes humanistic values. This approach requires thoughtful policy recommendations and a commitment to integrating technology with humanistic principles to ensure a balanced, equitable, and sustainable future.

5.1 Policy recommendations

Regulatory Frameworks for Technological Integration. Governments should establish comprehensive regulatory frameworks that govern the deployment of new technologies. These regulations should ensure that technological advancements, especially in biotechnology and artificial intelligence, are used ethically and responsibly. This involves creating standards and guidelines that prevent misuse and prioritize public welfare over corporate profit.

Education and Training Programs. To prepare for the demands of the 4IR, educational systems must adapt to provide students with the skills necessary to thrive in a highly digital and automated environment. This includes technical skills, critical thinking, creativity, and emotional intelligence. Programs focused on lifelong learning and re-skilling should be implemented to help the existing workforce adapt to new roles as industries evolve.

Inclusive Access to Technology. Policies must ensure equitable access to technology across different sectors of society. This includes bridging the digital divide by improving infrastructure in underserved areas and making cutting-edge healthcare technologies accessible to all economic strata, thereby preventing a new kind of inequality based on access to technological enhancements.

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The integration of 4IR technologies should align with the United Nations' SDGs to ensure sustainable growth. Technologies like AI and IoT can be pivotal in achieving environmental sustainability, improving healthcare, and reducing inequality if steered by policies that align with these global objectives.

Public and Private Sector Collaboration. Encourage collaboration between the government, private sector, and academia to foster innovation while ensuring it serves the public good. Public-private partnerships can be instrumental in research and development projects that aim to solve complex societal challenges through technology.

Global Cooperation on Technological Ethics. On a global scale, there is a need for cooperation to address ethical challenges posed by advanced technologies, especially in genetics and AI. An international body dedicated to technology ethics can facilitate dialogue and consensus on standards that uphold human rights and dignity across borders.

5.2 Integrating technology with humanistic values

Technology as a Means to Enhance Human Dignity. Technology should be viewed and utilized as a tool to enhance human dignity and quality of life, not as an end in itself. This involves deploying technologies that respect individual privacy, improve social welfare, and reduce suffering without overstepping ethical boundaries.

Cultural and Ethical Considerations in Technological Design. Developers of new technologies must consider their applications' diverse cultural contexts and ethical implications. This includes understanding the societal impact of technologies and striving for inclusive designs that promote positive values.

Promotion of Human-Centric AI. AI development should focus on augmenting human capabilities and addressing societal challenges rather than replacing human roles. By promoting a human-centric approach to AI, we can harness its benefits to enhance human decision-making and creativity while preserving jobs and the unique qualities of human intelligence.

Ethical Leadership in Technology. Cultivate leaders within the technology sector who are committed to ethical practices and prioritize society's welfare. Leadership programs emphasizing ethical considerations in decision-making processes can help steer technological advancements in a direction that benefits humanity.

Community Engagement and Dialogue. Encourage a broad dialogue among all stakeholders, including policymakers, technologists, and the general public, to discuss the direction of technological advancements. Community engagement is crucial to ensure that the development and implementation of new technologies are democratically governed and aligned with the public's interests and values.

By adopting these policy recommendations and integrating technology with humanistic values, we can ensure that the Fourth Industrial Revolution leads to a future where technological progress supports a more just, prosperous, and sustainable world for all.

Conclusion

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) marks a transformative era where biological, digital, and physical innovations are reshaping human existence. This paper has examined the multifaceted impacts of these advancements, highlighting their potential to revolutionize healthcare, manufacturing, and social connectivity. However, realizing these benefits requires rigorous ethical oversight and proactive policy frameworks to address challenges such as social

inequality, human diversity, and ethical dilemmas associated with biotechnological innovations like genetic engineering and bioprinting.

The analysis underscores the necessity of an integrated approach that harmonizes technological innovation with human dignity and social equity. As AI and robotics redefine work and skills, there is a critical need for educational reforms to equip individuals with adaptability, critical thinking, and creativity. Lifelong learning must ensure that human insight remains central in an increasingly automated landscape.

Public-private collaboration is pivotal in shaping the 4IR trajectory. Governments must lead in establishing regulatory frameworks to ensure that technological advancements align with societal values and sustainable development goals. These frameworks must balance innovation with ethical considerations, ensuring equitable access and preventing misuse.

As humanity stands on the brink of unprecedented progress, the journey of 4IR must be guided by a commitment to sustainability, justice, and ethical responsibility. A future where technology enhances life requires addressing its potential impacts on society and the environment thoughtfully. Policymakers, innovators, and the public must collaborate to foster equitable technological integration that respects human dignity and planetary boundaries.

Ultimately, the 4IR offers immense opportunities, but only by aligning innovation with ethical principles can we ensure it serves humanity as a force for good, promoting justice, sustainability, and shared progress for all.

References

AGUILAR-RODRÍGUEZ, I. E.; BERNAL-TORRES, C. A.; ALDANA-BERNAL, J. C.; ACOSTA-AGUINAGA, A. G. *et al*. Relationship between social culture, industry 4.0, and organizational performance in the context of emerging economies. *Journal of Industrial Engineering Management*, 14, n. 4, p. 750-770, 2021. https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.3560.

CHAGAS, E. F. Natureza e liberdade em Ludwig Feuerbach. *Argumentos - Revista de Filosofia*, 2020, n. 24, p. 30-52, 2020. https://doi.org/10.36517/Argumentos.24.3.

KHUAT, N. T. Human Existence Through Philosophical Inquiry and Technological Transformation: An Analytical Study. *Kalagatos*, 21, n. 2, p. eK24054, 2024.

MAHLATSI, M. The Fourth Industrial Revolution: Another Industrial Revolution Leaving Black Women Behind? *The Thinker*, 83, n. 1, p. 222, 2020. https://doi.org/10.36615/thethinker.v83i1.222.

MARX, K.; ENGELS, F. *Complete Works*. Hanoi: National Political Publishing House, 1993.

MASARO, L. De olhos bem abertos: a ideologia da sociedade industrial segundo Adorno. *Argumentos - Revista de Filosofia*, 2018, n. 19, p. 161-173, 2018.

MELO, J.; ARAÚJO, N. Impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution on the Health Sector: A Qualitative Study. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 26, p. 328-334, 2020. https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2020.26.4.328.

NGUYEN, P. T.; NGUYEN, Q. T. The philosophy of human emancipation in Ho Chi Minh's ideas and its contemporary relevance in Vietnam. *Kalagatos*, 21, n. 1, p. eK24009, 2024.

NGUYEN, T. Q. International Integration and Its Impacts on Human Resource Development in Vietnam Currently. *Synesis*, 14, n. 2, p. 74-89, 2022a.

NGUYEN, T. Q. Perspectives on human beings in Chinese philosophy and its historical significance to the cause of educational innovation in Vietnam currently. *Argumentos - Revista de Filosofia*, 28, p. 82-94, 2022b. https://doi.org/10.36517/Argumentos.28.8.

NGUYEN, T. Q.; NGUYEN, V. T.; NGUYEN, T. P. Ho Chi Minh's thoughts on the education of the young Vietnamese generation today. *Perspektivy Nauki i Obrazovania – Perspectives of Science and Education*, 62, n. 2, p. 562-577, 2023. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2023.2.33.

NGUYEN, T. Q.; PHAM, T. L.; NGUYEN, T. P. The four noble truths an integrative Buddhist philosophy of life. *European Journal of Science and Theology*, 18, n. 6, p. 47-63, 2022.

ROTATORI, D.; LEE, E. J.; SLEEVA, S. The evolution of the workforce during the fourth industrial revolution. *Human Resource Development International*, 24, n. 1, p. 92-103, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2020.1767453.

SCHWAB, K. *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Hanoi: National Political Publishing House, 2018.

XING, B.; MARWALA, T. Implications of the Fourth Industrial Age on Higher Education. *ArXiv*, p. abs/1703.09643, 2017. https://doi.org/10.25073/0866-773X/87.

Autor(a) para correspondência / Corresponding author: Nga Thi Khuat. nga.kt@tmu.edu.vn